

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,927	02/15/2002		Richard D. Bonaduce		3669	
	7590	11/10/2003		EXAM	INER	
Randal D. H	omburg		ROBINSON, MARK A			
P.O.Box 1047	-					
Midwest City	, OK 73	3140-1470	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2872		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/076,927	BONADUCE, RICHARD D.				
	Offic Action Summ ry	Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	Mark A. Robinson	2872				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
, —	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.		·				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	I de la constant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
• •	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
. 5, 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanger 2192817 in view of Sargis 4135788.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that the patent to Sanger does not teach the reflector to be omnidirectional (being hemispherical and multi-faceted), instead stating that the reflector is "in the form of a circular bull's-eye."

Application/Control Number: 10/076,927

Art Unit: 2872

However, in fig. 2 Sanger clearly shows the reflector to be approximately hemispherical, with fig. 3 showing the reflector to be multi-faceted. It should be noted that "hemispherical" in the claims is being interpreted as encompassing approximately hemispherical, since the reflector shown in applicant's drawings is clearly not a perfect hemisphere. Thus, Sanger's reflector satisfies the claimed shape for the reflector. Since the claimed structural features of the reflector are satisfied by the reference, it is seen that Sanger's reflector is "omnidirectional" in a similar manner as the approximately hemispherical, multi-faceted reflector of the present invention, since Sanger's reflector is capable "of being seen from 'omnidirections' or multiple directions" (as stated on p. 2 of the response). Accordingly, the rejection is still deemed to be valid.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

Art Unit: 2872

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn, can be reached at (703) 305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MR

11/5/03

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4